

Categories used to summarise responses

- Improving our **understanding of health & wellbeing impacts** from natural hazards & extreme events **across time, space, & scale**
- **Understanding** & valuing the impact natural hazards & extreme events on health & wellbeing **impacts with monetary and non-monetary values** (e.g. cost to NHS)
- What are the **perceived risks** of natural hazards and extreme events? How do these affect **people's relationships with the natural environment**?
- **Managing for multiple objectives**: how do we integrate the management of the natural environment to mitigate against natural hazards and extreme events with management for other objectives? (i.e. multiple benefits including health & wellbeing, biodiversity)

Summary of responses

| Category | Themes within web survey |
|--|---|
| <i>Understanding impacts across time, space & scale</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scale: Local / national, short & long term • Role of green and blue space, including positive regulation • Types of natural hazard: floods, heatwaves, drought, volcanic eruptions • Role of climate change • Understanding function / role / management of named habitats / services e.g. woodlands, wetland, atmosphere, flood buffering at catchment scale, groundwater, offsetting CO2 emissions • Ecosystem resilience & redundancy – benefits of resilience • Humans as part of natural systems • Susceptibility of different social / cultural groups / populations e.g. rural, maritime • Methods: modelling, mapping, replication, scenario socio-economic modelling |
| <i>Understanding impacts with monetary & non-monetary values</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How to value health benefits of natural processes that mitigate extreme events e.g. flooding • Economic cost of mental health impacts e.g. flooding – economic • Impact on community resilience e.g. flooding • Valuation of (semi) natural processes e.g. tillage for increased water retention • Incentives for management • Focus on water – value of water / drought / flood costs |
| <i>Perceived risk & peoples' relationship with environment</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Barriers to preparing for extremes e.g. heatwaves in UK seen as positive, need to prepare for more floods • Public perception of risk associated with rare vs commoner events • Focus on flood, heatwave, volcanoes, coast |
| <i>Managing for multiple outcomes</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Examples of potentially conflicting management needs, e.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ flooding may impact cultural heritage with monetary & non-monetary value ▪ for upland management / flood risk ▪ any management vs biodiversity • What does effective mitigation for health & wellbeing look like? • 'Concrete' infrastructure vs natural management e.g. perception of concrete infrastructure as more reassuring • Future cities - need for urban development to deliver multiple outcomes e.g. water sensitive cities to drought and flood, location of rural catchment delivering services to urban area • Need for policy but lack of recognition of urgency / priority • Socio / economic angle e.g. role of planning for disadvantaged communities |

Research Topic: Marine Toxins

What are the key research areas / challenges for improving understanding of the role biodiversity & ecosystem processes play in human health & wellbeing, in the area of marine toxins?



Categories used to summarise responses

- **Review / scoping** (e.g. What do we know & where are the gaps? What is the significance? (health burden, economic costs / benefits); What existing monitoring could help and what is needed? What can we learn from international / historical experience?)
- **Future forecasts** (e.g. Risks in context of environmental change, underlying mechanisms, needs for evidence base for modelling, what are the implications of human behaviour?)
- **Land and Water management** (e.g. catchment management to reduce the risk of disease / toxins, understanding risk / mitigation, assessing pre-emptive vs reactive approaches)

Summary of responses

| Category | Themes within web survey responses |
|----------------------------------|---|
| <i>Review / scoping</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understanding mechanisms • Evaluating impacts • Examples of systems: marine environment, marine aerosols, toxic algae, environmental quality, cities |
| <i>Future forecasts</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Future climate predictions • Impact of human activity e.g. atmospheric deposition impact on phytoplankton <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understanding mechanisms for future scenarios e.g. algal blooms; marine litter; physiological pathway for toxin production; need for monitoring e.g. blue green algae |
| <i>Land and water management</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Examples of systems / issues for marine environment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Increasing shipping atmospheric emissions ○ Dredge spoil disposal ○ Toxic chemicals released in exploitation of coast ○ Role of local / regional marine stewardship |

| | |
|---|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Impact of human activity e.g. International trade ● Understanding mechanisms for future scenarios e.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Viral pathogens ○ Digestate & sewage sludge ○ Parasite biodiversity ○ Microbial biodiversity ○ Wildlife /domestic animal / human interaction ○ Molecular interaction of organisms ○ Beyond malaria ○ Antibiotic resistance ● Need for baseline & long term modelling ● High risk groups – detection & meeting needs ● Public awareness of risk, behaviour |
| <p><i>Land and water management</i></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Examples of systems / issues for vector borne diseases: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Pasture management / parasite load ○ Urban greenspace & vectors e.g. lyme disease ○ Role of microbiota on health of wild populations ○ Aquaculture economics & welfare ○ Marine management ○ Wetlands & mosquito habitat |

Research Topic: Urban Ecosystems (Greenspace)

What are the key research areas / challenges for improving understanding of the role biodiversity & ecosystem processes play in human health & wellbeing, in the area of urban ecosystems (greenspace)?



Categories used to summarise responses

- **Scoping** and describing what is already in place / being used; **Evaluating** what works / what doesn't work (e.g. existing initiatives, international policies / design / management, green/blue health and wellbeing experience of different groups); **Understanding** why it

works / doesn't (e.g. how to get impact on health & wellbeing, understanding mechanisms, characterising effect)

- **Design & management** (including social, cultural, historical)
- **Mainstreaming** (from research to decision makers, toolkits, governance issues)

Summary of responses

| Category | Themes within web survey responses |
|---|--|
| <i>Scoping, Evaluating, Understanding</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to examine current evidence / evaluate / understand role in human health and wellbeing of greenspace – examples of impacts / study systems: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Health aspect (e.g. Mental health, Lung disease, Immune regulation, inflammatory processes) ○ Environment (e.g. Air pollution, Soils, Woodland, Abiotic (geodiversity), Wild places, Water management) ○ Activity/Use (e.g. Physical activity (cycling), Food production, Zoos) • Scope of topic <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Beyond urban – peri-urban / rural ○ Include Blue space including coastal (incl coastal cultural value, urbanised coastlines) • Other major issues <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Role of climate change ○ Quality of biodiversity – does it matter? ○ What works in delivering multiple benefits ○ Monetary valuation especially related to NHS savings ○ Access vs Actual Use of greenspaces • Socio-economic inequalities & value for different groups e.g. childhood (e.g. obesity, outdoor learning, early experience and valuation later in life), aging population, ethnicity, gender, • Cultural value (green/blue/grey, soft outcomes of engagement, beliefs, behaviours, connection with creativity) • Methodologies & measurements – interdisciplinary for valuation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Intervention studies & comparison with other interventions ○ Scale and time (Longitudinal studies, long term, national & local, how much space, where located) ○ Beyond monetary values ○ New technologies (e.g. neuroimaging, phone apps) ○ Measures to suit users / policy makers e.g. health-dose response, link to biodiversity indicators |
| <i>Design & Management</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Designing & managing urban ecosystems H&W benefits for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Different user groups (e.g. aging population, childhood engagement, cultural differences, health inequalities) ○ Real biodiversity as well as other benefits ○ Heatwaves ○ Urban design (e.g. green walls, green roofs) ○ Real use for physical activity, urban agriculture etc |
| <i>Mainstreaming</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing evidence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Provide businesses and policymakers with economic evidence (PHE, local health boards, health & social care commissioners etc) ○ Evidence in the way decision makers need it (e.g. robust as RCT) |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scale: Local / landscape / national - Importance of place / landscape scale evaluation, Public Health – national framework • Climate Change • Water and coastal management & cultural values • Methodologies – development of common measures for valuation, quantification of benefits universal currency, indicators, , visual mapping shared across disciplines, explicit link natural elements and values e.g. do waterbirds increase the aesthetic value of a catchment • Making best use of the existing evidence base • Future scenarios e.g. ecosystem services and food prices • Role of humans: Humans as part of nature, behaviour & decision making - impact on the environment, experience of nature related to exposure, culture • Deeper interdisciplinary working (bring together philosophies & knowledge systems of natural, physical, social sciences, economics, arts), bringing in relevant disciplines (e.g. neuroscience, ecosystem-based adaptation, human geography, environmental psychology, archaeology) • Transdisciplinary approach, cross sector working (research, policy, practice, citizens) • Integrating monetary & non-monetary values – market based systems, shared and social values, payment systems, ethics, evaluation of translation into financial value, Trade off in values, Linking economic values to ecosystem service science • Interdisciplinary research to encompass valuation approaches to specific topics: e.g. atmospheric services, ecological burial sites, turf stripping, peatland/carbon conservation • Techniques: Genetics, epigenetics, microbiota & host, systematic mapping, remote sensing |
| <i>Social & cultural dimension</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapping cultural landscape • Social alienation • Understanding motivations • Socio-economic link -Impact on different groups, poverty alleviation • Societal understanding of ecosystem services • Language construction of relationships e.g. of economics, landscape, natural processes • Divergent cultural values & spiritual values • Use of natural space - understanding motivation |
| <i>Links to decision making</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stakeholder engagement to identify research priorities & barriers to uptake, and relate to policy and practice needs – co-design, translation of complex messages • Decision making frameworks for national accounting / local picture • Public understanding of risk and ecosystem services • Access to data e.g. for rural businesses • Public participation & role of local communities - involvement in ecosystem service assessment and provision, pressure groups in urban democracy, role in citizen science • Making (economic) valuation easier to use, more affordable, more applicable, developing and piloting new approaches • Providing evidence for range of users e.g. private sector, healthcare, NHS, NGOs, across government departments, national parks |